### City of York Council

Key Corporate Risk Monitor

**KCR 01** 

# Financial Pressures

Over the course of the last 4 years there has been a substantial reduction in government grants leading to significant financial savings delivered. The expectation is that £10million annually will be required in future years. The council needs a structured and strategic approach to deliver the savings in order to ensure that any change to service provision is aligned to the council's key priorities.

Risk Owner: Ian	Floyd		
Gross Risk Rating:	High 20	Gross Risk Likelihood	I: Probable
		Gross Risk Impact:	Major
<u>Cause</u>		<u>Consequence</u>	
<ul> <li>Reduction in government g the necessity to make savin</li> <li>Increased service demand example an aging population</li> </ul>	ngs I and costs (for	<ul> <li>Major savings req budget, with potent on service delivery</li> <li>Impacts on vulner</li> <li>Spending exceed</li> </ul>	tial major implications rable people
Controls			Owner
Regular budget monitoring			
Two year budget cycles and forecasting	l effective medium term plar	nning and	lan Floyd
Chief finance officer statutor	ry assessment of balanced	budget	lan Floyd
Regular communications on management and politicians		ns with senior	lan Floyd
Skilled and resourced financial awareness		anagers with	lan Floyd
Net Risk Rating:	Medium 14	Net Risk Likelihood:	Possible
		Net Risk Impact:	Moderate

Actions	Farget Date	Revised Date
Development of a new Medium term plan after May elections 37	31/03/2016	

### KCR 02 Governance

With the current scale and pace of transformation taking place throughout the organisation, it is now more important than ever that the council ensures that its key governance frameworks are strong including those around information governance and transparency. Risk Owner: Ian Floyd

Risk Owner. Tarri toyu				
Gross Risk Rating: High 20	Gross Risk Likelihood	I: Probable	•	
	Gross Risk Impact:	Major		
Cause • Member/Officer relations may not be effective • Increased interactions in relation to FOI and transparency • Failure to comply with information security policy	non compliance • Fines levied by In Commissioner • Impact on the end • Public safety may • Further incidents • Adverse media co	<ul> <li>Breach of Data Protection Act and other non compliance</li> <li>Fines levied by Information</li> </ul>		
Controls		Owner		
Electronic Communication Policy		lan Floyd		
IT security systems in place		lan Floyd		
Corporate Information Governance Group		lan Floyd		
Secure paper storage and confidential waste dispos office accommodation	al available in	lan Floyd		
Internal Audit reviewing information security		lan Floyd		
New Head of Health and Safety		Pauline Stuchfield		
Health and Safety monitoring by CMT and DMTs		Pauline Stuchfield		
Regular monitoring to Audit & Governance committee	e	lan Floyd		
New governance structure		Andrew Docherty		
Net Risk Rating: High 19	Net Risk Likelihood:	Possible		
	Net Risk Impact:	Major		
Actions		Target Date	Revised Date	
Review of Health and Safety governance framework	(S	30/09/2015		

Health and Safety training programmes at all levels

31/03/2016

## KCR 03 Transformation/rewiring

Delivering the objectives set for the transformation programme moving from the existing model to the desired outcome, will require looking at innovative ways of meeting business objectives and service delivery going forward whilst ensuring that services continue to be delivered effectively whilst the work is ongoing.

Risk Owner: Stewart Halliday			
Gross Risk Rating: High 20	Gross Risk Likelihood: Probable		
	Gross Risk Impact: Major		
<u>Cause</u>	<u>Consequence</u>		
<ul> <li>Ineffective programme management</li> <li>Failure to engage with the community on the changes required</li> <li>Failure to support and manage change effectively</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adverse impact on service delivery</li> <li>Fail to meet the needs of vulnerable people</li> <li>Unable to lower the cost base</li> <li>Opportunities missed</li> <li>Reputational impact</li> </ul>		
Controls	Owner		
Effective engagement activity	Stewart Halliday		
Detailed business cases	Stewart Halliday		
Programme governance	Stewart Halliday		
Net Risk Rating: High 19	Net Risk Likelihood: Possible		
	Net Risk Impact: Major		
Actions	Target Date Revised Date		
Ongoing monitoring	31/03/2016		
Fuller consultation and engagement	31/03/2016		

### KCR 04 Changing demographics

York has a rapidly changing demographic and this brings with it significant challenges particularly in the delivery of adult social care. On the converse, the results of the recent baby boom will have a future impact on school places and services not to mention social care. There has also been significant inward migration and as such the council needs to ensure that community impacts are planned for and resourced.

Risk Owner: Jon S	Stonehouse/Gu	uy Van Dichele			
Gross Risk Rating:	High 20	Gross Risk Likelihoo	od: Probable	9	
		Gross Risk Impact:	Major		
<u>Cause</u>		<u>Consequence</u>			
<ul> <li>Baby boom coming through</li> <li>Inward migration</li> <li>Development and regenerat</li> </ul>	ion makes	<ul> <li>Increased servit</li> <li>Impact on reduct</li> <li>resources</li> </ul>			
York more desirable and acce • An aging population requirin from the council placing signif	g services	-	<ul> <li>Statutory school places have to be found</li> <li>Rise in delayed discharges</li> </ul>		
and delivery challenges		Reputational im			
Controls			Owner		
Analysis of need and work arc	ound options		Jon Stonehouse		
Stakeholder and officer group			Jon Stonehouse		
DfE returns			Jon Stonehouse		
Net Risk Rating:	High 19	Net Risk Likelihood:	Possible		
		Net Risk Impact:	Major		
Actions			Target Date	Revised Date	
Annually refresh statistical pro (CSES-OCE) to include provis		-	30/04/2015		
Review KPIs to assess impact service resource needs report	t of population incr		30/05/2015		
Ensure adequate supply of sc Strategy, Governance Structu	hools places (CYC	Place Planning	01/09/2015		

# KCR 05 Safeguarding

Ensuring that vulnerable adults and children in the city are safe and protected is a key priority for the council. The individual, organisational and reputational implications of ineffective safeguarding practice are acute.

Risk Owner:	Guy Van Dichele				
Gross Risk Rating:	High 20	Gross Risk Likelihoo	d:	Probable	
		Gross Risk Impact:		Major	
<u>Cause</u>		<u>Consequence</u>			
<ul> <li>Failure to comply with and practice</li> </ul>	h safeguarding policy	<ul> <li>Vulnerable perso</li> <li>Serious case revexercise</li> <li>Reputational dans</li> </ul>	view or le		rned
Controls			Owner		
Safeguarding adults Bo	bard		Guy Va	n Dichele	
Safeguarding sub grou	ps		Guy Va	n Dichele	
Multi agency policies a	nd procedures		Guy Va	n Dichele	
Adults - Multi agency s	afeguarding hub (MASH)		Guy Va	n Dichele	
Specialist safeguarding	g cross sector training		Guy Va	n Dichele	
Quantitative and qualit	ative performance management		Jon Sto	nehouse	
Reporting and governa Scrutiny	nce to lead Member, Chief Executiv	e and	Jon Sto	nehouse	
•	nt, peer challenge and regulation		Jon Sto	nehouse	
Net Risk Rating:	Medium 14	Net Risk Likelihood:		Possible	
		Net Risk Impact:		Moderate	
Actions			Target	Date	Revised Date
Regular monitoring of	controls		31/03/2		

#### Workforce/Capacity **KCR 06**

Delivery of organisation development plan

It is crucial that the council remains able to retain essential skills and also to be able to recruit to posts where necessary, during the current periods of uncertainty caused by the current financial climate and transformational change. The health, wellbeing and motivation of the workforce is therefore key in addition to skills and capacity to deliver.

Risk Owner: la	n Floyd					
Gross Risk Rating:	High	20	Gross Risk Likelihoo	d:	Probable	
			Gross Risk Impact:		Major	
<u>Cause</u>			Consequence			
<ul> <li>The necessity to deliver resulted in a reduced wor</li> <li>Recruitment and retention the council is seen as a lessing option than the private see</li> <li>Lack of succession plant</li> <li>Single points of failure the business</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increased workloads for staff</li> <li>Impact on morale and as a result, staff turnover</li> <li>Inability to maintain service standards</li> <li>Impact on vulnerable customer groups</li> <li>Reputational damage</li> </ul>					
Controls				Owner		
Workforce Strategy				Pauline	Stuchfield	
Stress Risk Assessments				Pauline	Stuchfield	
PDRs				Pauline	Stuchfield	
Comprehensive Occupati	onal Health prov	vision including co	ounselling	Pauline	Stuchfield	
HR policies e.g. whistleble	owing, dignity at	work		Pauline Stuchfield		
Net Risk Rating:	Medium	14	Net Risk Likelihood:		Possible	
			Net Risk Impact:		Moderate	9
Actions				Target		Revised Date
Production of new workfo	ce strategy			31/12/2		
Ongoing Monitoring				01/01/2016		
Staff survey Sept 2015 ar	d establish new	action plans by 2	2016	01/01/2	016	
Delivery of organisation d	evelopment plar	า		31/03/2	016	

# KCR 07 Health and Wellbeing

The council now has the responsibility for the provision of public health services and also for the formation of the Health & Wellbeing Board, which has the ambition to bring together local organisations to work in partnership to improve outcomes for the communities in which they work. Failure to adequately perform these functions could result in the health and wellbeing of communities being adversely affected.

Risk Owner:	Sally Burns				
Gross Risk Rating:	High 20	Gross Risk Likelihood:	Probable		
		Gross Risk Impact:	Major		
<u>Cause</u>		<u>Consequence</u>			
<ul> <li>Outcomes may be diffinition to longevity</li> <li>Lack of resources: num specialist skills</li> <li>Other priorities means Health and Wellbeing output</li> </ul>	nbers and/or less focus on	adversely affected <ul> <li>Key objectives are</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Health and wellbeing of the community adversely affected</li> <li>Key objectives are not delivered</li> <li>Reputational damage</li> </ul>		
Controls		C	wner		
Health and Wellbeing Bo progress	pard own the strategy and r	eceives reports on S	ally Burns/Julie Hotchkiss		
Net Risk Rating:	High 19	Net Risk Likelihood:	Possible		
Net Risk Rating:	High 19	Net Risk Likelihood: Net Risk Impact:	Possible Major		
Actions		Net Risk Impact:	Major arget Date Revised Date		
Actions	High <b>19</b> bolicy under way including o	Net Risk Impact:	Major		

### KCR 08 Local Plan

The council has a statutory duty to develop a Local Plan, a citywide plan, which helps shape future development in York over the next 20-years. It sets out the opportunities and policies on what will or will not be permitted and where, inc. new homes and businesses. The Local Plan is a critical part of helping to grow York's economy, create more job opportunities and address our increasing population needs. Failure to develop a suitable Plan could result in York losing its power to make planning decisions.

Risk Owner: Sarah Tanburn			
Gross Risk Rating: High 19	Gross Risk Likelihoo	d: Possible	
	Gross Risk Impact:	Major	
<u>Cause</u>	<u>Consequence</u>	in a immed an the	
<ul> <li>Fail to adopt and agree a Local Plan</li> <li>Local Plan adoption process delayed</li> <li>Significant opposition to the plan that may impede its progression</li> </ul>	development plan, • Legal and probity • Reputational dan • Increased resour with likely significa appeals	economic goals s to have no adopted /framework / issues nage rces required to deal ant increase in planning pcesses and decision down	
Controls		Owner	
Develop strategy for cross party working on long term strate	egic issues	Sarah Tanburn	
CMT and DMT to work closely with key Members on Local	Plan issues	Sarah Tanburn	
Proactive communication strategy		Sarah Tanburn	
Effective programme and project management to ensure tir and milestones are met	nescales	Sarah Tanburn	
Effective project resourcing		Sarah Tanburn	
Net Risk Rating: High 18	Net Risk Likelihood:	Unlikely	
	Net Risk Impact:	Major	
Actions Monitoring of controls		Target DateRevised Date30/06/2015	

### KCR 09 Communities

The council needs to engage in meaningful consultation with communities to ensure decisions taken reflect the needs of the residents, whilst encouraging them to be empowered to deliver services that the council is no longer able to do. Failing to do this effectively would mean that services are not delivered to the benefit of those communities or in partnership.

Risk Owner: Sally Burns				
Gross Risk Rating: High 20	Gross Risk Likelihood: Probable			
	Gross Risk Impact: Major			
Cause	Consequence			
<ul> <li>Failure to effectively engage with the communities we serve</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lack of buy in and understanding from stakeholders</li> </ul>			
Failure to contribute to the delivery of safe     communities	<ul> <li>Alienation and disengagement of the community</li> </ul>			
Failure to effectively engage stakeholders	<ul> <li>Relationships with strategic partners</li> </ul>			
in the decision making process	damaged <ul> <li>Impact on community wellbeing</li> </ul>			
Controls Owner				
Proactive resource to engage management across the c	council Sally Burns			
Communication and consultation Strategy	Sally Burns			
Net Risk Rating: High 19	Net Risk Likelihood: Possible			
Net Risk Rating: High 19	Net Risk Likelihood:PossibleNet Risk Impact:Major			
	Net Risk Impact: Major			
Net Risk Rating:       High       19         Actions       Rewiring project will identify specific workstreams				

# KCR 10 Effective and strong partnerships

In order to continue to deliver some services the council will have to enter into partnerships with a multitude of different organisations whether they are third sector or commercial entities. There needs to be robust, clear governance arrangements in place for these partnerships as well as performance monitoring arrangements to ensure delivery of the objectives.

Risk Owner: Ste	ewart Halliday			
Gross Risk Rating:	High 20	Gross Risk Likelihood:	Probable	
		Gross Risk Impact:	Major	
<u>Cause</u>		<u>Consequence</u>		
<ul> <li>Failure to effectively monitor and manage partnerships</li> <li>Lack of centralised register of partnerships</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Key partnerships fail to deliver of break down</li> <li>Ability to deliver transformation priorities undermined</li> <li>Adverse impact on service delivery</li> <li>Funding implications</li> <li>Reputational impact</li> </ul>		
Controls		0	lwner	
Partnership code of practic	e	S	tewart Halliday	
Net Risk Rating:	Medium 14	Net Risk Likelihood:	Possible	
		Net Risk Impact:	Moderate	
Actions		т	arget Date Revised Date	
Publish, publicise and imple Practice corporately	ement the CYC Partnership	Code of 3	1/03/2015	

# KCR 11 Capital Programme

The capital programme currently has approximately 85 schemes with a budget of £203 million. The schemes range in size and complexity but are currently looking to deliver two very high profile projects, the Community Stadium and York Central, which are key developments for the city.

Risk Owner:	lan Floyd				
Gross Risk Rating:	High	20	Gross Risk Likelihoo	d: Proba	ble
			Gross Risk Impact:	Major	
<u>Cause</u>			<u>Consequence</u>		
<ul> <li>Inadequate monitoring management in relation projects</li> <li>Complex projects with</li> <li>Large capital program with less resource</li> </ul>	n to large capital n inherent risks	d	<ul> <li>Additional costs a projects</li> <li>The benefits to the realised</li> <li>Reputational Dare</li> </ul>	he community a	
Controls				Owner	
Project boards and proj	ject plans			lan Floyd	
Regular monitoring of s	chemes			lan Floyd	
Capital programme rep	orting to Cabinet			lan Floyd	
Strong financial, legal and procurement support included within the Ian Floyd capital budget for specialist support skills				lan Floyd	
Net Risk Rating:	High	19	Net Risk Likelihood:	Possit	ble
			Net Risk Impact:	Major	
Actions				Target Date	Revised Date
Infrastructure delivery to			or	31/01/2015	31/03/2015

	Catastrophic	17	22	23	24	25	
Impact	Major	12	18	19	20	21	
	Moderate	6	13	14	15	16	
	Minor	2	8	9	10	11	
	Insignificant	1	3	4	5	7	
		Remote	Unlikely	Possible	Probable	Highly Probable	
	Likelihood						